18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1 2	CJorgensen@lewisroca.com Brittni A. Tanenbaum, Bar No. 16013 BTanenbaum@lewisroca.com		
3   4	LEWIS ROCA ROTHGERBER CHRISTIE LLP 3993 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 600 Las Vegas, NV 89169		
5	Tel: 702.949.8200		
6	Attorneys for Defendant Barclays Bank Delaware		
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA		
9	' <b> </b>		
10	William H. Ball,	e No. 2:23-cv-02019-JAD-BNW	
11	Plaintiff,		
12		NOPPOSED MOTION FOR TTENSION OF TIME FOR BARCLAYS	
13	BARCLAYCARD	RESPOND TO PLAINTIFF'S OMPLAINT	
14	A Delaware corporation, (F)	RST REQUEST)	
15	Defendant.		
16			
17	Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 6(b) and L.R. IA 6-1, Defendant Barclays Bank Delawar		

e ("Barclays"), by counsel, respectfully requests a thirty (30) day enlargement of the time to respond to the Complaint of Plaintiff William H. Ball in this action ("Plaintiff"). In support thereof, Defendant states:

- 1. On November 7, 2023, Plaintiff filed his Complaint in the Eighth Judicial District Court in and for Clark County, Nevada.
  - 2. On November 16, 2023, Barclays was served with the Complaint.
- On December 7, 2023, Barclays timely removed the action to this Court [ECF No. 3. 1].
- 4. The undersigned was just recently retained in this action, and requires additional time to investigate all relevant matters, ascertain the veracity of the averments in Plaintiff's Complaint, analyze and ascertain any and all affirmative and other defenses, and otherwise submit

123238301.1

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

a proper response to the Complaint. In addition, the requested enlargement of time will permit		
Defendant to identify the account and complete its research. For these reasons, Defendant		
respectfully requests a thirty (30) day extension of time i.e., through and including January 13,		
2024 within which to respond to Plaintiff's Complaint.		
5. This motion is made in good faith and not for purposes of undue delay. This is		

- S Defendant's first extension request, and no party will be prejudiced by the relief sought.
- 6. Counsel for Defendant conferred with Plaintiff regarding the extension of time requested herein, who confirmed that Plaintiff does not oppose the relief sought.

WHEREFORE, Defendant Barclays Bank Delaware respectfully requests that the Court grant this Unopposed Motion and extend the time for Barclays Bank Delaware to respond to the Complaint by and through January 13, 2024.

DATED this 14th day of December, 2023.

## LEWIS ROCA ROTHGERBER CHRISTIE LLP

By: /s/ Brittni Tanenbaum

J. Christopher Jorgensen (SBN: 5382) Brittni A. Tanenbaum (SBN: 16013) 3993 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 600 Las Vegas, NV 89169

Attorneys for Defendant Barclays Bank Delaware

## **ORDER**

IT IS SO ORDERED.

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

DATED: <u>12/18/2023</u>

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I HEREBY CERTIFY that I served a true and correct copy of the foregoing UNOPPOSED MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME on this 14th day of December, 2023 by depositing said copies in the United States Mail, postage prepaid thereon, and via electronic mail upon the following:

> William H. Ball P.O. Box 90051 Henderson, Nevada 89009 951-923-9498 Billball49@verizon.net Plaintiff Pro-se

> > Sharon L. Kuller An employee of Lewis Roca Rothgerber Christie

123238301.1